

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/678,580	Applicant(s) JAPUNTICH ET AL.	
	Examiner Annette F. Dixon	Art Unit 3771	

All Participants:
 (1) Annette F. Dixon, PTO personnel.
 (2) Karl Hansen, Applicant's Representative.

Status of Application: RCE
 (3) _____.
 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 September 2008
Time: N/A

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

 Claims discussed:
66 and 68

 Prior art documents discussed:
Simpson et al. in view of Soderberg and Shindel

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Please see examiner's amendment.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Annette F Dixon/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771
 /Justine Yu/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)